Introduction to Cultural Anthropology: Class 7

Thinking about culture: Adaptation, meaning, and system

© Copyright Bruce Owen 2007

- Quiz
- Middleton 47-53, 56-66 (Ch 3: Lived Difference)
 - p. 47, nice way of putting it: people are shaped by their cultures: by living in their own "neighborhood"
 - when we see different behavior in another neighborhood, we naturally try to understand it based on what we know
 - but the assumptions we learned in our neighborhood may be irrelevant in a different neighborhood
 - 3 ways to approach (or explain) other cultures:

- 1. culture as adaptation

- culture is like a biological adaptation
 - in biology, an adaptation is a feature or behavior that helps an organism survive and reproduce
 - like an owl's big eyes and sharp beak help it to hunt at night, thus survive, thus reproduce
 - in social science, an adaptation is a way of getting by in the given circumstances
 - like making bows and arrows help humans to hunt, thus survive, thus reproduce
 - other cultural adaptations:
 - farming
 - social methods for living in large settlements
 - warfare, etc.
 - "adaptive" means "fits the environment" or "produces success"
 - NOT "flexible", "changes with the circumstances", etc.
- So, to explain something about a culture, this approach explains
 - how it might be an effective way of dealing with the given circumstances
 - looks at culture in terms of perceived needs and problems
 - makes sense of culture as a way of dealing with the situation that the people face
- examples: seeing urban poor subcultures as understandable in terms of people sensibly dealing with problems they face
 - street-corner men have good reasons to turn down work
 - "disorganized families" are really adaptations to sporadic work, frequent jail time, and very scarce resources
 - they create a sharing support network with reciprocal obligations

- 2. culture as meaning

- culture is a set of meanings assigned to things, and responses appropriate to those meanings
- So, to explain something about a culture, this approach explains
 - how it makes sense in terms of the culture's system of meanings
 - Looks at interpretations of events and things; beliefs, values, attitudes
 - if we understand the meanings, the reactions to the meanings will make sense

- you can only understand how American and Peruvian farmers behave at plowing time by understanding the network of meanings that plowing is embedded in
- In the US
 - plowing is an "improvement" of the land
 - at one time it conferred ownership
 - it is seen as converting land from unproductive wasteland to productive, useful farmland
 - Thus plowing is a straightforward good thing
 - It is a secular (practical, businesslike, not religious) activity with no particular supernatural connotations
 - Farmers just do it as a practical task, and feel a sense of accomplishment about it
- In highland Peru
 - The earth and the landscape are home to powerful gods or spirits
 - Some even say that features of the landscape *are* these deities
 - These spirits or deities are powerful and personal, and can bring specific kinds of success or misfortune to those who have pleased or displeased them
 - Plowing is a physical violation of the earth, and thus of Pachamama, the spirit of the earth
 - Thus plowing is not just a secular matter, but one with serious supernatural and practical implications
 - so farmers must appease Pachamama with apologies and offerings before plowing
- Knowing this, you can understand why
 - American farmers plow their fields with no particular ceremony
 - while traditional Peruvian highland farmers make offerings beforehand
- example: the same beliefs apply to digging for archaeological reasons
 - so even some young, urban archaeologists in Peru insist on making an offering to Pachamama before starting excavations
 - and if you don't, they may see misfortunes like injuries, or even just not finding good data, as resulting from disregarding the offering
 - you can only understand why they think and act this way by understanding the meanings they attribute to plowing, the earth, offerings, etc.

– 3. culture as a system (of interrelated parts)

- culture is a system of institutions, roles, and relationships that are all interconnected
 - any one aspect of the culture is affected by many others
 - economics interact w. politics, religion, migration, etc.
 - each aspect has gotten to its current state through a history of development and change
 - which helps to explain how it is now
 - a change in one thing affects many others
- So, to explain something about a culture, this approach looks for
 - How a variety of different institutions and pressures are interconnected and affect it

- Highlighting a connection that was not obvious
- expanding the known system in which it is embedded
- Often including a historical viewpoint
 - X change in Q area led to Y change in the area we are looking at...
 - And how, and why
- example: say we want to explain why there are so many pay-by-the-hour internet shops ("Cabinas Internet") in even small Peruvian towns
 - because most people are too poor to afford their own computers and internet access
 - yet Peru has a decent public education system, such that even poor kids in small towns are reasonably literate
 - so Peru has a big demand for such stores
 - Peru had many government-owned businesses
 - and it had long been customary for politicians to reward even low-level supporters with jobs in these companies
 - so most were bloated with employees who did little or nothing
 - during the late 90's, there was a conservative swing in US economic policies
 - the US and the world bank offered strong economic incentives for governments to privatize national industries
 - in part because of the US and World Bank's pressure, the Peruvian government decided to privatize the national telephone company, airline, natural gas company, various mines, etc.
 - to make them more attractive to buyers, they laid off a lot of the excess employees
 - the law required the government to give them balloon payments when they lost their jobs
 - so there were suddenly lots of unemployed people with sizable chunks of money
 - cheap computers were just reaching international markets, and the internet was just picking up steam
 - many invested in setting up these internet places
 - this is a systemic explanation in that it emphasizes the interaction between many different areas
 - Peru's economic picture
 - Peru's educational system
 - US politics
 - technological innovations
 - the social phenomenon of the internet
 - Peru's tradition of political patronage
 - Peru's labor laws, etc.
- example: say we want to understand why women and men have relatively equal status in some societies, while women have lower status in others (Middleton p. 62)
 - We need to consider:
 - the mode of subsistence (hunting, farming, factory work, professional work...),
 and thus family arrangements, and thus gender roles

- the economy and labor market
- amount and nature of warfare
- relative income contributed by each gender
- degree of segregation between public and domestic spheres of activity
- degree of sociocultural complexity
- we will look more at gender roles and these influences on them later in this course
- example: ethnic groups and boundaries seem to be about group identity, but often arise in response to seemingly unrelated changes in other aspects of life (Middleton p 64-65)
 - ethnicity is often about power, wealth, and competition, more than the "essence" of who people are
 - a recently coined term: "idinterest groups": combines senses of ethnic, religious, or other identity with economic or political interest
 - probably most ethnic groups are more realistically seen as "idinterest" groups
 - ethnic boundaries often harden in times of hardship and competition, loosen in good times
 - that is, ethnic groupings and identity can be explained in part by looking at economics
 - this is a culture-as-system kind of explanation
 - example: anti-immigrant feelings, laws, etc. often rise when unemployment rises, as in reunified Germany, or here recently
 - ethnicity is negotiated, historical, dynamic; NOT essential, self-evident, unchanging, obvious
 - this is a culture-as-system view of ethnicity
- Monaghan and Just 2000 Ch 2: Bee Larvae and Onion Soup: Culture
 - (the first few readings from this book are online, in case there are delays in getting the book.
 The later ones are not online. So, buy it!)
 - This chapter discusses various concepts of culture
 - And a hodgepodge of key figures, with minimal info about each
 - you should recognize the names and their main ideas
 - and it is good for you to think about these ideas
 - but for this class, don't worry about getting a deep understanding of all these theories
 - Franz Boas
 - founder of American anthropology
 - environment's effect on culture
 - "cultural glasses"
 - how "integrated" is culture?
 - that is, is there an underlying, unifying logic to each culture?
 - yes, there is an underlying logic to every culture:
 - Karl Marx: the mode of production (technology and social relations of subsistence) largely shapes all the rest
 - Sigmund Freud: the structure of the human unconscious largely shapes all the rest
 - Ruth Benedict: some general theme largely shapes all the rest

- more on this in the next readings and class
- Clifford Geertz: a culture is a "fabric of meaning and belief" that fits together logically
 - we can "read" those meanings, a culture's categories and relationships of them, from cultural "texts"
 - such as the Balinese cockfight
 - more on this in the next readings and class
- Ward Goodenough and others: a culture is like a grammar
 - it is a set of consistent rules that allow people to interact successfully
- Roy Rappaport, Marvin Harris, and others: a culture is a self-regulating system
 - with feedbacks that tend to keep it in equilibrium with the environment
 - more on this in the next readings and class
- Émile Durkheim: the categories and structure of social organization are the model for all the rest
- Claude Lévi-Strauss: culture accumulates bits and pieces over time, but they are selectively adopted and assembled according the culture's existing logic
 - French anthropologist
 - every culture's logic or structure of classifying things boils down to universal human tendency to see the world in terms of binary oppositions
 - male : femalelife : deathnature : culture
 - etc.
- Michel Foucault: culture is a coherent set of categories and relationships, or structures
 - French anthropologist
 - these categories and structures of culture help authorities' ability to oppress others
 - authorities adjust categories and structure to serve their purposes
 - resisters best tactic is to reject these categories and structures
- no, there is no organizing logic to cultures:
 - Robert Lowie: a culture is just an arbitrary accumulation of bits and pieces determined by the vagaries of history
- does culture exist outside of or beyond individuals (as a "superorganic")?
 - Alfred Kroeber: culture as superorganic
 - coral reef metaphor
 - formed gradually by the actions of many individuals
 - existed before current individuals were born
 - structures their lives
 - will continue long after them
 - culture is superorganic in that it follows patterns of change and organization that are beyond any individual
- Monaghan and Just: still, all agreed that culture is
 - Arbitrary

- Learned
- Thus a useful concept with which to oppose ethnocentrism
 - (ideas and practices are arbitrary; ours are not necessary best)
- and racism
 - (most differences are learned, not inborn and unchangeable)